

## Message Text

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 02977 01 OF 02 041558Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EURE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-01 INR-07  
IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01  
SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00 NSC-05 ACDA-07  
CU-02 DHA-02 BIB-01 /091 W  
-----041744Z 023512 /43

P R 141500Z MAR 77  
FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4795  
INFO AMCONSUL LENINGRAD

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 MOSCOW 2977

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1  
TAGS: PFOR, PINT, CSCE, SHUM, UR, US  
SUBJECT: CSCE IMPLEMENTATION: SEVENTH MEETING WITH SOVIETS

REF: 76 MOSCOW 19609

1. BEGIN SUMMARY. AMBASSADOR MET WITH MFA DEPUTY KORNIYENKO AT LATTER'S REQUEST MARCH 1. IN GIVING DETAILED RESPONSES TO OUR DECEMBER 14, 1976, DEMARCHE KORNIYENKO REPEATED PREVIOUS ASSERTIONS, OFFERED A FEW CRUMBS. BUT ALTHOUGH MEETING WAS BUSINESSLIKE AND THE DOOR LEFT OPEN FOR FURTHER DIALOGUE, SOVIET PERFORMANCE ON KEY HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES OVER PAST TWO MONTHS HAS BEEN RETROGRADE AND WE SEE LITTLE HOPE OF REAL PROGRESS IN THIS AREA BEFORE THE JUNE BELGRADE MEETING. END SUMMARY.

2. FOLLOWING ARE POINTS MADE ORALLY MARCH 1 BY DEPUTY FOREIGN MINISTER KORNIYENKO TO AMBASSADOR IN RESPONSE TO OUR DECEMBER 14 CSCE DEMARCHE (REFTEL):

3. GENERAL REMARKS: IN GENERAL, SOVIETS REACTED POSITIVELY TO OUR DECEMBER DEMARCHE AND AFFIRM THAT THEY ARE ALSO PREPARED TO IMPLEMENT ALL PORTIONS OF THE FINAL CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 02977 01 OF 02 041558Z

ACT. IN IMPLEMENTING THOSE PROVISIONS, SOVIETS ATTACH GREAT SIGNIFICANCE TO "MAJOR POLITICAL QUESTIONS" WHICH FLOW FROM CSCE.

4. NON FIRST USE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS:  
SOVIETS PLACE GREAT EMPHASIS ON THIS PROPOSAL AND REGRET THAT NO FORMAL U.S. REPLY HAS BEEN RECEIVED. WHEN AMBASSADOR SAID THAT NATO RESPONSE CONSTITUTED DEFINITIVE REPLY, KORNIYENKO SAID IT SEEMED TO HAVE BEEN TAKEN HASTILY AND HOPED THE PROPOSAL WOULD BE RE-EXAMINED.

5. RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF BASKETS:

U.S. SEEMS TO PLACE DISPROPORTIONATE EMPHASIS ON BASKET III. U.S. DEMARCHE SPEAKS OF SPECIAL IMPORTANCE OF COOPERATION "IN HUMANITARIAN AND OTHER FIELDS" IN KEEPING WITH U.S. NATURAL TRADITION, AND WHEREAS U.S. MADE ONLY TWO SMALL SUGGESTIONS FOR BASKET I, IT MADE SIX TIMES AS MANY FOR BASKET III. SOVIETS' HISTORICAL TRADITIONS, INCLUDING WORLD WAR II TRAGEDY, COMPEL THEM TO PLACE FIRST PRIORITY ON SECURITY PROVISIONS ALTHOUGH THEY PLACE "EQUAL EMPHASIS" ON OTHER BASKETS.

6. SPECIFIC U.S. PROPOSALS AND REACTION TO SOVIET PROPOSALS:

BASKET I: A AND B: EXCHANGES OF LECTURERS AND DELEGATIONS FROM SENIOR SERVICE COLLEGES. THESE TWO "MINOR" U.S. PROPOSALS ARE WELCOME AND POSITIVE REPLY WILL BE COMMUNICATED BY MOD TO DATT (SEE MOSCOW 2826).

BASKET II:

A. BUSINESS CONTACTS AND EXCHANGES. REAL PROGRESS DEPENDS ON ELIMINATION OF U.S. DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES WHICH U.S. HAS NO MORAL RIGHT TO IMPOSE. FRANKLY, THE SOVIETS SEE NO EVIDENCE OF REAL U.S. EFFORTS SINCE LAST YEAR. TO THE CONTRARY, SOVIETS SEE A DISTINCT ABSENCE OF EFFORTS SINCE AUGUST 1976. SOVIETS HAVE LIVED WITH THIS SITUATION AND ARE NOT BEGGING FOR U.S. FAVORS. BALL IS IN U.S. COURT.  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 02977 01 OF 02 041558Z

B. ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL INFORMATION.

SOVIETS HAVE STARTED TO EXPAND ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL INFORMATION IN THEIR TRADE JOURNALS. MORE AGRICULTURAL INFORMATION IS ALSO BEING MADE AVAILABLE.

C. BUSINESS CONTACTS AND FACILITIES.

SOME 24 OR 25 U.S. CORPORATIONS AND BANKS NOW HAVE OFFICES IN MOSCOW, MORE THAN FOR OTHER COUNTRIES, NOTWITHSTANDING THE FACT THAT TRADE TURNOVER WITH USSR OF THESE FIRMS IS LESS THAN TURNOVER OF THIRD COUNTRY FIRMS. PRACTICALLY ALL U.S. FIRMS REPRESENTED HAVE PERMANENT FACILITIES. SOME FIRMS ARE NOT COMPLETELY SATISFIED, BUT THESE CASES ARE BEING RESOLVED. SOME THOUGHT MUST NOW BE GIVEN TO THE REAL MEANING OF FIRMS' PRESENCE SINCE THEY WERE ESTABLISHED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT TRADE WOULD SOLIDIFY AND BECOME LARGE SCALE. ("DON'T MISUNDERSTAND ME, BUT AFTER ALL THE IDEA WAS TO EXPAND TRADE.") MEANWHILE, ESTABLISHMENT OF SOVIET ENTERPRISE REPRESENTATIONS IN U.S. (E.G. TRAKTOREXPORT IN MILWAUKEE AND LITSENZINTORG IN NEW YORK) LONG REMAINED UNRESOLVED.

D. U.S. RESPONSE TO SOVIET PROPOSALS FOR

CONFERENCES ON ENERGY, TRANSPORT AND ENVIRONMENT. U.S. SAYS IT IS STUDYING THESE PROPOSALS BUT A LONG TIME HAS ALREADY ELAPSED. SOVIET SIDE ALSO ATTACHES IMPORTANCE TO ECE ROLE, BUT WANTS IT TO TAKE POSITIVE ACTION.

E. TOURISM. DEVELOPMENT OF INCREASED  
SOVIET TOURISM IN USA IS CONTRAINED BY ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL  
CONSIDERATIONS. TOURIST COSTS ARE MUCH HIGHER IN USA  
THAN USSR AND SOVIETS HAVE LIMITED FOREIGN EXCHANGE WHICH  
IS ALSO A FUNCTION OF CURRENT LEVEL OF BILATERAL TRADE.  
MOST SOVIET TOURISM ELSEWHERE ABROAD IS RELATED TO SOME  
USEFUL GOAL, E.G., LOCAL CONTRIBUTIONS BY SOVIET DOCTORS,  
TEACHERS AND OTHER SPECIALISTS. HOWEVER, U.S. TOURIST  
MARKET OFFERS FEW SUCH OPPORTUNITIES. U.S. FIRMS ARE LESS  
WILLING THAN OTHERS TO RECEIVE SOVIET TOURISTS AND THIS  
ALSO BEARS ON THE PROBLEM. MOREOVER, AMONG SOVIET TOURISTS  
TO U.S. THERE IS FEAR OF VIOLENCE AND CRIME. INDIVIDUAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 02977 01 OF 02 041558Z

SOVIET TOURISTS THINK U.S. IS NOT BEST PLACE TO VISIT.  
(AMBASSADOR INTERVENED TO SAY, "WE HAVE OUR PROBLEMS, BUT  
LET'S NOT EXAGGERATE THEM.")

BASKET III

A. HUMAN CONTACTS.

1) FAMILY REUNIFICATION. SOVIET  
RECORD WAS BETTER IN 1976 THAN 1975. PROCESSING PERIOD WAS  
SHORTER AND, TAKING CASES INVOLVING DEPARTURE FOR U.S.  
AND ALL OTHER COUNTRIES TOGETHER, SOVIET DECISION WAS  
POSITIVE IN 98.4 PERCENT OF ALL CASES. SOVIETS HAVE FOUND  
THAT REPRESENTATION LISTS PROVIDED BY EMBASSY ARE NOT RPT  
NOT DOCUMENTS WHICH REALLY DEMONSTRATE WHO WANTS TO DO  
WHAT. SINCE THESE LISTS ARE OFTEN INACCURATE, SOVIET SIDE  
CONSIDERS AS "CONCRETE" DOCUMENTS ONLY DECLARATIONS MADE  
BY INDIVIDUALS THEMSELVES.

2) NOTIFICATION OF BASIS FOR REFUSAL. KORNIYENKO  
MADE NO COMMENT.

3) FACILITATION OF BINATIONAL MARRIAGES.  
IN 1976 MAJORITY OF SUCH CASES WERE RESOLVED. EXCEPTIONS  
DO EXIST AND WILL CONTINUE TO EXIST FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS  
INCLUDING PHENOMENON OF FICTITIOUS, CONTRIVED MARRIAGES.  
(AMBASSADOR SAID HE THOUGHT THESE WERE RARE.  
KORNIYENKO REPLIED, "MAYBE, BUT THEY STILL HAPPEN".) IN  
ANY EVENT, ALTHOUGH SOVIET DECISION IS GENERALLY  
POSITIVE, IT SHOULD BE REMEMBERED THAT APPROVAL WAS NOT  
RPT NOT AUTOMATIC. (FOR CONVERSATION ON MCCLELLAN CASE,  
SEE MOSCOW 2890.)

4) TRAVEL FOR PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL  
REASONS. MFA'S CONSULAR ADMINISTRATION WILL SHORTLY BE  
READY TO NEGOTIATE. SOVIETS HOPE U.S. SIDE IS READY TO  
SHORTEN PROCESSING PERIOD. SOVIETS FRANKLY DO NOT  
UNDERSTAND NEED FOR MULTIPLE-ENTRY EXIT VISAS FOR STUDENTS AND  
JOURNALISTS. (AMBASSADOR SAID THIS COULD BE DISCUSSED WHEN  
CONSULAR NEGOTIATIONS BEGIN.)

5) RECIPROCAL ABOLITION OF TRAVEL CONTROLS.  
KORNIYENKO MADE NO COMMENT.  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 MOSCOW 02977 01 OF 02 041558Z

6) TRAVEL BY REPRESENTATIVES OF SOVIET SOCIAL ORGANIZATIONS. BY DENYING VISAS TO SOVIET TRADE UNION REPRESENTATIVES, U.S. HAS VIOLATED LETTER AND SPIRIT OF FINAL ACT. (REJECTING THAT ASSERTION, AMBASSADOR SAID THAT FROM A PRACTICAL VIEWPOINT IF THE USSR COULD COMMEND ITSELF TO GEORGE MEANY AND U.S. TRADE UNION MOVEMENT THERE WOULD BE NO PROBLEM. KORNIYENKO COUNTERED THAT EVEN THOUGH MEANY APPROVED SUCH VISITS "THERE ARE OTHERS, FOR INSTANCE, LEONARD WOODCOCK. EVEN THEN, OUR AUTO TRADE UNION REPRESENTATIVES DIDN'T GET VISAS.")

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 02977 02 OF 02 041710Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EURE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-01 INR-07  
IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01  
SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00 NSC-05 ACDA-07  
CU-02 DHA-02 BIB-01 /091 W  
-----041744Z 024591 /43

P R 141500Z MAR 77  
FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4796  
INFO AMCONSUL LENINGRAD

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 MOSCOW 2977

B. IN THE FIELD OF INFORMATION:

1) FREER AND WIDER DISSEMINATION BY RADIO. U.S. MAINTAINS ITS FOREIGN BROADCASTING IS FULLY CONSISTENT WITH LETTER AND SPIRIT OF FIRST ACT. SOVIETS INSIST THAT RADIO LIBERTY, RADIO FREE EUROPE AND CERTAIN VOA BROADCASTS DO NOT RPT NOT ACCORD WITH FINAL ACT, I.E., SUCH BROADCASTS DO NOT PROMOTE MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN PEOPLES. TO THE CONTRARY, TEY USE TRAITORS AND ARE HOSTILE TO USSR. (REJECTING THIS, AMBASSADOR SAID OUR POSITION ON RL AND RFE WAS SO WELL KNOWN THERE WAS NO NEED TO REPEAT IT. HOWEVER, HE WAS DISTURBED BY KORNIYEKO'S REFERENCE TO VOA. IF KORNIYENKO COULD CITE SPECIFIC EVIDENCE OF VOA VIOLATING THE FINAL ACT. AMBASSADOR WOULD LOOK INTO THE MATTER. KORNIYENKO SAID HE WOULD PROVIDE CONCRETE EXAMPLES.)

2) DISSEMINATION OF PRINTED, NON-PERIODICAL PUBLICATIONS IN EACH OTHER'S TERRITORIES. SOVIET SIDE FACILITATES DISTRIBUTION OF U.S. BOOKS (ART, TECHNICAL AND TRANSLATIONS), MANY MORE TIME NUMBER OF SOVIET BOOKS DISTRIBUTED IN U.S. (AMBASSADOR SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO GET INTO NUMBERS GAME. HE WAS DISAPPOINTED SOVIETS WERE NOT PREPARED TO LET US OPEN COMMERCIAL BOOK STORES, AND HOPED THAT SOMEDAY OUR BOOKS COULD ENTER USSR

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 02977 02 OF 02 041710Z

FREELY. HOWEVER, THAT WAS SOVIET PROBLEM AND WE RESPECTED THEIR SOVEREIGNTY.)

3) MEETING OF EMBASSY REPRESENTATIVES AND MEZHDUNARODNAYA KNIGA OFFICIALS TO INCREASE AVAILABILITY THROUGH NORMAL COMMERCIAL CHANNELS OF PERIODICAL PUBLICATIONS. IN 1976 SOVIET DISTRIBUTION OF FOREIGN PERIODICAL PUBLICATIONS WAS THREE TIMES LARGER THAN BEFORE. SUFFICIENT CONTACT EXISTS THROUGH COMMERCIAL CHANNELS. THEREFORE THERE IS NO NEED FOR EMBASSY INVOLVEMENT.

4) ESTABLISHMENT OF LIBRARIES AND READING ROOMS. THERE IS NO NEED FOR READING ROOMS.

5) TELEVISION BROADCASTS. KORNIYENKO MADE NO COMMENT.

6) IMPROVEMENT OF JOURNALISTS' WORKING CONDITIONS. U.S. PROPOSAL IS A REPLAY OF SOVIET PROPOSAL OF OCTOBER 1976. SOVIETS ARE READY TO DISCUSS THIS.

7. BELGRADE MEETING;  
SOVIETS WELCOME US STATEMENT OF WILLINGNESS TO MEET IN BELGRADE AS ANOTHER POSITIVE STEP TOWARD RELAXING INTERNATIONAL TENSIONS. HOWEVER, SOVIETS TAKE EXCEPTION TO US TERMINOLOGY "REVIEW MEETINGS". FINAL ACT CALLS FOR "PROCEEDING TO A THOROUGH EXCHANGE OF VIEWS." THERE IS NO NEED FOR MUTUAL RECRIMINATIONS OR BALANCE SHEET APPROACHES, RATHER WHAT IS NEEDED IS AN EXCHANGE OF OPINIONS ON WHAT CAN BE DONE FURTHER. EACH COUNTRY CAN OF COURSE, MAKE A PRESENTATION ON ITS OWN IMPLEMENTATION. THE GOAL SHOULD BE THE ACHIEVEMENT OF POSITIVE, CO-STRUCTIVE RESULTS. (THE AMBASSADOR AGREED THAT THE MEETING SHOULD BE CONSTRUCTIVE AND FORWARD-LOOKING. HOWEVER, WE WOULD BE COMPELLED TO REVIEW COMPLIANCE "OUR OWN AS WELL AS YOURS," BUT IN A CONSTRUCTIVE WAY.)

8. MISCELLANEOUS --LIVING CONDITIONS FOR SOVIET EMIGRANTS TO US:  
AT ONE POINT KORNEIYENKO REFERRED TO A LENGTHY  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 02977 02 OF 02 041710Z

NYT ARTICLE ON DIFFICULTIES SOME SOVIET EMIGRANTS TO US ENCOUNTER IN RESETTLING. HE ASKED IMPISHLY HOW DID WE CONCERN OURSELVES WITH RESOLVING THESE PROBLEMS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FINAL ACT, WHICH STATES THAT GOVERNMENTS WILL TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES REGARDING EMPLOYMENT. HE SAID "WE HAVE THE IMPRESSION NOBODY CARES." (AMBASSADOR REMINDED HIM THAT OURS WAS A FREE SOCIETY IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT WAS NOT IN THE POSITION TO GUARANTEE EMPLOYMENT, BUT WAS TAKING APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO ENSURE THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK, TO LIVE AS ONE DESIRES AND WITH WHO ONE DESIRES.

MOS IMMIGRANT STO THE US WERE REASONABLY  
WELL SATISFIED.)

9. AMBASSADOR'S CONCLUDING REMARKS:

--REFERRIN TO KORNIYENKO'S REMARKS THAT WE  
SEEMED TO PLACE DISAPPROPRIATE EMPAHASIS ON BASKET III,  
AMBASSADOR ASSURED HIM THAT WE WERE JUST AS INTERESTED IN  
SECURITY AS SOVIETS. POSSIBLY OUR POSITION ON THIS SCORE  
HAD BEEN MISINTERPRETED. OUR EMPHASIS ON BASKET  
III REFLECTED OUR DESIRE FOR MORE IMPROVEMENT.

--RE TRADE BARRIERS, IT SHOULD BE CLAR TO  
SOVIETS THA BOTH PRESIDENT FORD AND CARTER WERE OPPOSED  
TO THE JACKSON-VANOK AMENDMENT AND TO THE STEVENSON  
AMENDMENT. IT WAS TRUE THAT WE HAD BEEN UNABLE TO AMEND  
OR ELIMANATE THIS LEGISLATIONBUT THAT WAS BECAUSE OF AN UNFORABALBE  
CLIMATE RESULTING FROM CERTAIN POSTURES ADOPTED BY THE SOVIET  
GOVERNMENT.

HOPEFULLY, WE WOULD MAKE THESE CHANGES THIS YEAR OR NEXT,  
BUT THAT DEPENDED ON THE INTERNATIONAL ATMOSPHERE. THE  
CONGRESS AND AMERICAN PUBLIC WOULD HAVE TO BE CONVINCED  
OF THE NECISSITY FOR SUCH MOVES.

--REGARDING KORNIYENKO'S REFERENCE TO HE NUCLEAR  
NON FIRST USE PROPOSAL, THE AMBASSADOR SAID FRANKLY HE  
DID NOT THINK THIS WAS A VERY HELPFUL WAY TO PROCEED.  
OUR POSITION HAD BEEN MADE CLEAR BY THE NATO STATEMENT.

--AS FOR BREZHNEV'S PROPOSALS ON ENERGY,  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 02977 02 OF 02 041710Z

ENVIRONMENTA AND TRANSPORTATION CONDERENCES, THEY WERE  
STILL UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE USG. SOVIETS MUST  
RECOGNIZE THAT WE HAD JUST HAD A MAJOR CHANGE OF ADMINSTATION.  
THE SOVIETS WOULD GET THIER ANSWER IN DUE TIME.

--PERHAPS IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO RETURN TO  
DETIALED DISCUSSIONS AFTER THE SOVIET RESPONSE TO OUR  
DECEMBER DEMARCHE HAD BEEN EXAMINED BY WASHINGTON.

--THIS SESSION WITH KORNIYENKO HAD BEEN  
INTERESTING AND USEFUL. HIS FRANKNESS WAS APPRECIATED.

10. IN GENERAL, THE DISCUSSION WAS BUSINESSLIKE AND  
RELTIVELY RESTRAINED. PREDICATLY, KORNIYENKO REPEATED  
MANY ASSERTIONS HE HAD MADE DURING DECEMBER 14 EXCHANGE  
(REFTEL), BUT DROPPED HIS EARLIER PEJORATIVE REFERENCE TO  
CONGRESIONALLY MODERATED COMMISSION ON CSCE AND  
THREW US A FEW PSOITIVE CURMBS, AND LEFT THE DOOR OPEN FOR  
FURTHER DIALOGUE. BUT SOVET PERFORMANCE IN THE HUMAN  
RIGHTS FIELD OVER PAST TWO MONGS (E.G., EXPLUSIONOF  
AP CORRESPONDET KRIMSKY, ARESTS OF GINZBURG ET AL,  
HEATED EXCHANGES ON SAKHAROV, SOVIET MEDIA RESPONSE TO  
US HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN,AND REPEATED DETENTION OF  
FAYN ET AL DURING THEIR RECENT ATTEMPTS TO ENTER EMBASSY)  
HAS BEEN DISTINCTLY RETROGRADE. WE CONCLUDE THERE IS LITTLE  
PRODUCTIVE WE CAN EXPECT FROM SOVIETS BETWEEN NOW AND

BELGRADE MEETING, AT LEASE IN THIS FIELD BUT WE SHOULD  
KEEP THEIR FEET TO THE FIRE.  
TOON

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 22-Sep-1999 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** TREATY COMPLIANCE, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, COLLECTIVE SECURITY AGREEMENTS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 14-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977MOSCOW02977  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 XGDS-1  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770075-0615  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** MOSCOW  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t197703111/baaaaeuxl.tel  
**Line Count:** 331  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 3aa101b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 7  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 76 MOSCOW 19609  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 21-Oct-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2964148  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CSCE IMPLEMENTATION: SEVENTH MEETING WITH SOVIETS  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PINT, SHUM, UR, US, CSCE  
**To:** STATE INFO LENINGRAD  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/3aa101b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009